

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,751	09/28/2001	Jean Mondet	05725.0960-00	3105	
75	90 04/28/2003				
Thomas L. Irving			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.			· WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
1300 I Street, N	-				
Washington, DC 20005-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617	j	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	/ (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٠,	
Office Action Comment	09/964751		GNDES	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	AN	Group Art Unit	
	1 WEBM	AN	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence ad	dress—
Period for Reply	,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	D EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAII	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu 	ply within the statutory minim expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30)	days will be considered	ed timely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on2	127/03			·•
☐ This action is FINAL.	,			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	for formal matters, pros 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to 3.	the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims	. 0			
Claim(s) 1 - All 14 Of the above claim(s) 7 3 - 7	7/	is/are p	pending in the app	lication.
Of the above claim(s) 7 3 - 7	is/are \	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	allowed.	
	•			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are ı	ejected.	
		io/oro	phicotod to	
• •		is/are o	objected to.	or election
		io/oro	objected to.	or election
□ Claim(s)	77	is/are o	objected to.	or election
☐ Claim(s)	7 7 g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved	is/are (are sul require	objected to. oject to restriction ement.	or election
□ Claim(s)	7 7 g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved	is/are (are sul require	objected to. oject to restriction ement.	or election
□ Claim(s)	7 7 g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved	is/are (are sul require	objected to. oject to restriction ement.	or election
☐ Claim(s)	7 7 g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved	is/are (are sul require	objected to. oject to restriction ement.	or election
□ Claim(s)	7 7 g Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ted to by the Examiner.	is/are de sul require	objected to. oject to restriction ement.	or election
□ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here.	is/are of are sul required to disapprove (d).	objected to. pject to restriction ement. d.	or election
□ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here.	is/are of are sul required is approved is approved is approved in a substitution of the substitution is a substitution of the substitution is a substitution of the substitution of the substitution is a substitution of the subs	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election
□ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here. Emational Bureau (PCT I	is/are of are sul required in the sul required	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election
Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here. Emational Bureau (PCT I	is/are of are sul required in the sul required	objected to. oject to restriction ement. d.	or election
□ Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here. Emational Bureau (PCT I	is/are of are sul required in the sul required	objected to. oject to restriction ement. d.	or election
Claim(s)	g Review, PTO-948 is approved ted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents here.	is/are of are sul required in the sul required is approved in the sul required is approved in the sul required in the sul required is a sul required in the sul required in the sul required is a sul required in the sul required in the sul required is a sul required in the sul required i	objected to. oject to restriction ement. d.	

Application/Control Number: 09/964,751

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, laims 1-72, 77 in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden. This is not found persuasive because serious burden has been shown by the classification of inventions I-III in entirely different search classes..

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Elections of species are required:

Claims 1-48 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants must elect one ultimate polymer for examination.

Claims 51-55 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising hydrocarbon-based oil. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

b UST ('EW

Application/Control Number: 09/964,751

One oil shall be elected.

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 58, 68 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising additives. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single

disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

One additive shall be elected.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A liquid solution, a gel, a solid.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, forms are generic.

8 Comba

5 gvag

Application/Control Number: 09/964,751

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR April 8, 2003

A 1500